UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,727	09/26/2005	Walter Pollmann	7431P001	1107
	7590 08/10/2007 KOLOFF TAYLOR &	EXAMINER		
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			ROWAN, KURT C	
SUNNYVALE	, CA 94085-4040	O ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	3643			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/526,727	POLLMANN, WALTER			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kurt Rowan	3643			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Faild Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variet to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	ICATION. It reply be timely filed PNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	<i>ay 2007</i> .				
2a)	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-3,6,7 and 9-26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-3, 6-7, 9-26 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers		(			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	•	•			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	-,, ,			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗔 Intonion	Summary (PTO-413)			
2)  Notice 3)  Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-692) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 3643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 29, 2007 has been entered.

### Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: first leg, second leg and the F-shaped strip.

## 3. Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the angle of less than 90 degrees and the F-shaped strip must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be

Art Unit: 3643

canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show the less than 90 degree angle between the legs 15 and 16 as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes

Art Unit: 3643

made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 6-7, 9-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In reference to claim 1, line 18, "the second leg" should be the first leg—since the second leg does not extend in a downward direction and as further recited in claim 1, the second leg seals the intermediate space between the second leg and a mounting surface. Hence the second leg is the horizontal leg in Fig. 2.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3643

9. Claims 1-3, 6-7, 9, 11, 12, 15, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shanahan et al. (US 4165577) for substantially the same reasons stated in the last Office Action.

10. Shanahan shows that the protective element 27b, 30b, the first leg 30 and the second leg 63, 65, 66 comprise the support element as shown in Fig. 10 which can be considered to be in the form of an F-shape.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 1-3, 6-7, 9-10, 17, 18-22, 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saunders et al. (US 5007196) for substantially the same reasons stated in the last Office Action.

See Figs. 16-20 of Saunders particularly Fig. 20 which shows a protective element 140, a first leg (not labeled but directly under protective element 140), and a second leg 240. Saunders shows the two electrical conductive elements arranged in spaced-apart parallel relationship with the elements being at substantially the same vertical height, but it would have been obvious to employ conductive elements vertically offset at different heights such as shown in other embodiments of Saunders such as Fig. 8

Art Unit: 3643

depending on the orientation of the device and the target species of insect being sought.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Rowan whose telephone number is (571) 272-6893. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on (571) 272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571 \$272-1000.

Kurt Rowan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3643